

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**

Application No.: 09/532,988 First Named Applicant: David Barach
Examiner: Daniel J. Ryman Art Unit: 2665 Status of Application: Pending, Final Rejection
703-746-8697

Tentative Participants:

(1) Mark B. Solomon (Atty) (2) David Barach (inventor)
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 1/26/04 Proposed Time: 11:00 AM (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: Proposed Amendment After Final Rejection Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Ref., Obj., etc.)	Claims / Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>35 U.S.C. 103(a)</u>	<u>Claims 1-40</u>	<u>U.S. 5,650,825</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

(i) Absence of prima facie case of obviousness and (ii) non-analogous art (MPEP 2141.01(a))

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will be not delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Mark B. Solomon
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

@PFDesktop\ODMA\MHODMA\HBSR05\iManage_448378;1